Quantitative Worthiness Assessment Criteria for Sayings (QWACS)

| Criteria / Rank | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | Weighting Function |
|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Truth Is it actually true? | lt's false | Obscure / partial falsness | | Obscure / partial truth | It's true | $w_1 = 1 \cdot (x_1 > 0 \land x_2 < 0?0:1)$ $(x_1 < 0 \land x_3 > 0?0:1)$ |
| Helpfulness Is it actually helpful? | Leads off a cliff | Leads to a pit | Neutral | Helpful | Very Helpful | $w_2 = 1 \cdot (x_3 > 0 \land x_2 < 0?0:1)$ |
| Wit Does the saying make it's point through wit rather than direct truth? | | | No wit | Witty | Extremely witty | $w_3=1$ |
| Precision Is the saying precise and directed or vague and generic? | Extremely vague and generic | Vague and generic | Neutral | Precise | Extremely precise | $w_4=1$ |
| Malevolence Does the saying uplift and inspire or downtrod and depress? | Maximum depression | Depresses | Neutral | Inspires | Maximum inspiration | $w_5=1$ |
| Sloganasunetos How easy is it to use the saying without understanding it's meaning? | Parrots could quote it | Some sloganasunetos | No more than any other phrase | | | $w_6 = \frac{-\sum_{i, i \neq 6} w_i x_i}{N - 1}$ |
| Superiority Signalling Is the dispensor awarded fuzzy superiority bonus points? | Dispenser is perceived to be extremely superior in the area of the saying | Dispenser is perceived to be superior in the area of the saying | Perceived superiority of the dispenser is not affected | | | $w_7 = (x_5 < 0?1:0)$ |
| Total: N = 7 | Ov | erall metric function | $1: \text{ QWACS} = K_f \sum_{i}^{N} w$ | $Y_i X_i$, $K_f = 0.5$ | | |